# **Butler, David**

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

David:

As our clients do not anticipate filing testimony at this time, we defer to the other parties as to preferred schedule. All the hearing windows work for us. We appreciate the opportunity to weigh in.

Best regards,

Blan

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 1:31 PM

To: Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper; Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Pittman, Jenny;

richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Blan Holman

Subject: Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case

## Folks:

Blan Holman <br/>
Butler, David; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper; Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Pittman, Jenny; richard@rlwhitt.law; Kate Lee [External] Re: Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case

Estiling testimony at this time, we defer to the other parties as to preferred dows work for us. We appreciate the opportunity to weigh in.

Endows work for us. We appreciate the opportunity to weigh in.

Endows work for us. We appreciate the opportunity to weigh in.

Endows work for us. We appreciate the opportunity to weigh in. On May 6, 2020, the Commission instructed that a Hearing Officer work with the parties to set a revised procedural schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the case. I see that Dominion Energy has already filed its Direct Testimony and Exhibits. Accordingly, we need to set up news dates for the pre-filing of intervenor and ORS Direct testimony, the prefiling of rebuttal testimony by Dominion, and the pre-filing of surrebuttal testimony by other parties. For purposes of getting this discussion started, I would propose the following dates for the pre-filing of remaining testimony:

All Other Parties Testimony: May 22, 2020

Rebuttal Testimony: June 5, 2020 Surrebuttal Testimony: June 12, 2020

It will also be necessary to set a new hearing date. At present we have the following two day periods available in the near future for hearings:

June 17-18 July 15-16 August 5-6

I wanted to state that I am certainly not wedded to the stated pre-filing dates. If the parties wish to get together and propose an alternate pre-filing schedule, I would certainly consider it. Of course, the hearing dates are derived from the Commission calendar, so few alternatives are available, unless it is the desire of the parties to extend the hearing dates beyond August 5-6. If that is the case, then other dates may be available. In any event, I would request that the parties discuss these matters and attempt to agree on the various dates. If this is not possible, then I will certainly be glad to

sponsor a conference call with all parties to discuss the matter further.

In any event, I would ask that the parties present me with a status report as to where you are in your discussions by **Noon on Tuesday, May 12, 2020**. (As you may recall, Monday, May 11, 2020 is a State Holiday.)

Butler, David

From: KENNETH BURGESS <kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Butler, David, Nelson, Jeff, Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper, Pittman, Jenny; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; J. Blanding Holman
Subject: [External] RE: Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case

David —

Good morning. The pre-filed testimony dates in your email below are acceptable to DESC. Also the August 5 hearing date works for the Company; however, one of DESC's witnesses will be unavailable on August 6. Hopefully, we will not need two days for this hearing, but if that is the case, the Company would ask that the other parties be flexible with the presentation of witnesses. We do not need to address this matter now, but I wanted to make the other parties aware that the Company may be asking for an accommodation for one of its witnesses

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Chad

From: Butler, David <a href="David David Butler@psc.sc.gov">David David Butler@psc.sc.gov">David David Butler@psc.sc.gov</a>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 1:32 PM
To: Nelson, Jeff <a href="Jenleson@ors.sc.gov">Jenleson@ors.sc.gov</a>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <a href="Cybarker@scconsumer.gov">Cooper, J. Ashley</a> <a href="Jenny">Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case</a>

Folks:

On May 6, 2020, the Commission instructed that a Hearing Officer work with the parties to set a revised procedural of schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testmony in the test of the proceed with the pre-filing of testmony in the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testmony in the stay period granted by Or

schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the case. I see that Dominion Energy has already filed its Direct Testimony and Exhibits. Accordingly, we need to set up new dates for the pre-filing of intervenor and ORS Direct testimony, the prefiling of rebuttal testimony by Dominion, and the pre-filing of surrebuttal testimony by other parties. For purposes of getting this discussion started, I would propose the following dates for the pre-filing of remaining testimony:

All Other Parties Testimony: May 22, 2020

Rebuttal Testimony: June 5, 2020 Surrebuttal Testimony: June 12, 2020

It will also be necessary to set a new hearing date. At present we have the following two day periods available in the near future for hearings:

June 17-18 July 15-16 August 5-6

I wanted to state that I am certainly not wedded to the stated pre-filing dates. If the parties wish to get together and propose an alternate pre-filing schedule, I would certainly consider it. Of course, the hearing dates are derived from the

Commission calendar, so few alternatives are available, unless it is the desire of the parties to extend the hearing dates beyond August 5-6. If that is the case, then other dates may be available. In any event, I would request that the parties discuss these matters and attempt to agree on the various dates. If this is not possible, then I will certainly be glad to sponsor a conference call with all parties to discuss the matter further.

In any event, I would ask that the parties present me with a status report as to where you are in your discussions by Noon on Tuesday, May 12, 2020. (As you may recall, Monday, May 11, 2020 is a State Holiday.)

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Regards,
David Butler
Hearing Officer
Public Service Commission of South Carolina

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any 95. privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENRIGY COMMODITY bild or other relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any solisclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

SCPSC - 2019-393

EL - Page 64

Of 10

Butler, David

From: Carrie Schurg < carrie@riwhitt.law>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 11:31 AM
To: Butler, David
Cc: Richard Whitt, Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper, KENNETH BURGES Pittman, Jenny; J. Bianding Holman
Subject: [External] Scheduling Order//Docket No. 2019-393-E (DESC's Storage Tariff)

This email was dictated by Richard Whitt:

David:

I represent Ecoplexus Inc and the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc., in this Docket. In response to your email 8 yesterday about Hearing dates and Testimony dates, August 5, 2020, for the Hearing date and May 22, 2020, for Intervenor Testimony, both work for my clients.

Regards, Richard Whitt,
As Counsel for Ecoplexus Inc and Solar Business Alliance, Inc.

From: "Butler, David" < David. Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Date: May 7, 2020 at 1:314 PM EDT
To: "Nelson, Jeff" 4'nelston@ors.sc.gov>, "Grube-Lybarker, Carri" < clybarker@scconsumer.gov>, "J. Ashley Cooper" <a href="AshleyCooper">AshleyCooper</a> "ashleycooper@parkerpoe.com», "Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com" <a href="Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com</a> "J. Blanding Holman" <a href="Ashleycooper">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com</a> "J. Blanding Holman" <a href="Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com</a> "J. Blanding Holman" <a href="Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com</a> "J. Blanding Holman" <a href="Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com</a> "J. Blanding Holman" <a href="Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com">Kenneth.burgess@domi

procedural schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the case. I see that Dominion Energy has already filed its Direct Testimony and Exhibits. Accordingly, we need to set up new dates for the pre-filing of intervenor and ORS Direct testimony, the prefiling of rebuttal testimony by Dominion, and the pre-filing of surrebuttal testimony by other parties. For purposes of getting this discussion started, I would propose the following dates for the pre-filing of remaining testimony:

All Other Parties Testimony: May 22, 2020

Rebuttal Testimony: June 5, 2020 Surrebuttal Testimony: June 12, 2020

It will also be necessary to set a new hearing date. At present we have the following two day periods available in the near future for hearings:

June 17-18 July 15-16 August 5-6 I wanted to state that I am certainly not wedded to the stated pre-filing dates. If the parties wish to get together and propose an alternate pre-filing schedule, I would certainly consider it. Of course, the hearing dates are derived from the Commission calendar, so few alternatives are available, unless it is the desire of the parties to extend the hearing dates beyond August 5-6. If that is the case, then other dates may be available. In any event, I would request that the parties discuss these matters and attempt to agree on the various dates. If this is not possible, then I will certainly be glad to sponsor a conference call with all parties to discuss the matter further.

In any event, I would ask that the parties present me with a status report as to where you are in your discussions by **Noon on Tuesday, May 12, 2020**. (As you may recall, Monday, May 11, 2020 is a State Holiday.)

Butler, David

From: Pittman, Jenny
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:34 PM
To: Butler, David

Cc: Nelson, Jeff, Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper,
Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; J.
Blanding Holman

Subject: RE: Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case

David,

As stated in our letter filed earlier this week ORS does not intend to file testimony in this docket, so we do not have any preference as to the filling dates. Any of the hearing dates you proposed below work for us as well.

Prom: Butler, David < David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 1:32 PM
To: Nelson, Jeff sjnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri < clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; J. Ashley Cooper <a href="cashleycooper@parkerpoe.com">cashleycooper@parkerpoe.com</a>; Seneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Pittman, Jenny < jpittman@ors.sc.gov>; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; J. Blanding Holman < bholman@selcsc.org>
Subject: Scheduling in Docket No. 2019-393-E - the Storage Tariff case

Folks:

On May 6, 2020, the Commission instructed that a Hearing Officer work with the parties to set a revised procedural schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the parties to set a revised procedural schedule in this Docket.

schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the case. I see that Dominion Energy has already filed its Direct Testimony and Exhibits. Accordingly, we need to set up new dates for the pre-filing of intervenor and ORS Direct testimony, the prefiling of rebuttal testimony by Dominion, and the pre-filing of surrebuttal testimony by other parties. For purposes of getting this discussion started, I would propose the following dates for the pre-filing of remaining testimony:

All Other Parties Testimony: May 22, 2020

Rebuttal Testimony: June 5, 2020 Surrebuttal Testimony: June 12, 2020

It will also be necessary to set a new hearing date. At present we have the following two day periods available in the near future for hearings:

June 17-18 July 15-16 August 5-6

I wanted to state that I am certainly not wedded to the stated pre-filing dates. If the parties wish to get together and propose an alternate pre-filing schedule, I would certainly consider it. Of course, the hearing dates are derived from the Commission calendar, so few alternatives are available, unless it is the desire of the parties to extend the hearing dates beyond August 5-6. If that is the case, then other dates may be available. In any event, I would request that the parties discuss these matters and attempt to agree on the various dates. If this is not possible, then I will certainly be glad to sponsor a conference call with all parties to discuss the matter further.

In any event, I would ask that the parties present me with a status report as to where you are in your discussions by **Noon on Tuesday, May 12, 2020**. (As you may recall, Monday, May 11, 2020 is a State Holiday.)

Butler, David

From: Carrie Schurg < carrie@rlwhitt.law>
Sent: Friday, May 8, 2020 11:31 AM
To: Butler, David

Cc: Richard Whitt, Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; J. Ashley Cooper, KENNETH BURGESER Pittman, Jenny; J. Blanding Holman
Subject: [External] Scheduling Order//Docket No. 2019-393-E (DESC's Storage Tariff)

This email was dictated by Richard Whitt:

David:

I represent Ecoplexus Inc and the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc., in this Docket. In response to your email by esterday about Hearing dates and Testimony dates, August 5, 2020, for the Hearing date and May 22, 2020, for Intervenor Testimony, both work for my clients.

Regards,
Richard Whitt,
As Counsel for Ecoplexus Inc and
South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc.

From: "Butler, David" < David. Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Date: May 7, 2020 at 1:31:41 PM EDT
To: "Nelson, Jeff" <injenson@ors.sc.gov>, "Grube-Lybarker, Carri" < clybarker@scconsumer.gov>, "1.
Ashley Cooper" <a href="AshleyCooper">AshleyCooper</a> @ashleyCooper @arkerpose.com», "Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com"

<a href="AshleyCooper">AshleyCooper</a> @ashleyCooper @arkerpose.com», "Kenneth.burgess@dominionenergy.com

procedural schedule in this Docket, as the result of the end of the stay period granted by Order No. 2020-245. As I understand it, the parties are still discussing this matter, but have elected at this time to proceed with the pre-filing of testimony in the case. I see that Dominion Energy has already filed its Direct Testimony and Exhibits. Accordingly, we need to set up new dates for the pre-filing of intervenor and ORS Direct testimony, the prefiling of rebuttal testimony by Dominion, and the pre-filing of surrebuttal testimony by other parties. For purposes of getting this discussion started, I would propose the following dates for the pre-filing of remaining testimony:

All Other Parties Testimony: May 22, 2020

Rebuttal Testimony: June 5, 2020 Surrebuttal Testimony: June 12, 2020

It will also be necessary to set a new hearing date. At present we have the following two day periods available in the near future for hearings:

June 17-18 July 15-16

August 5-6

I wanted to state that I am certainly not wedded to the stated pre-filing dates. If the parties wish to get together and propose an alternate pre-filing schedule, I would certainly consider it. Of course, the hearing dates are derived from the Commission calendar, so few alternatives are available, unless it is the desire of the parties to extend the hearing dates beyond August 5-6. If that is the case, then other dates may be available. In any event, I would request that the parties discuss these matters and attempt to agree on the various dates. If this is not possible, then I will certainly be glad to sponsor a conference call with all parties to discuss the matter further.

In any event, I would ask that the parties present me with a status report as to where you are in your discussions by **Noon on Tuesday, May 12, 2020**. (As you may recall, Monday, May 11, 2020 is a State Holiday.)